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## ENSTRUCTIONAT LEADERS표P TEAM

The goal of the Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) is to encourage a culture of collaboration among district staff, and together, recognize the district's strengths and improve student achievement by analyzing district assessment data.

## INSTRUCTIONAI LEADERSIIP TEAM

Lisa Dreher - Basic Skills teacher<br>Kellie Gibson - 3rd grade teacher<br>Elizabeth McKnight - 3rd grade teacher

Nikki Nolan - 5th grade teacher
Jenna Risden - 6th grade teacher

## DATA WISE COURSE



ILT members earned a certificate through Harvard University by completing a 10-week course on Data Wise.

Data Wise taught ILT members the collaborative process to improve learning and teaching. Members learned what is involved in using data in order to build a collaborative culture of inquiry in the district.

## SCHOOL READINESS

The School Readiness Test was administered to 60 students in May of 2023 in order to assess how ready kindergarten students are to learn in the first grade setting according to the amount of skills they are "adequate" in.

## School Readiness Test



| High Degree <br> of Readiness | 3 students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Above <br> Average <br> Degree of <br> Readiness | 7 students |
| Average <br> Degree of <br> Readiness | 45 students |
| Marginally <br> Ready | 4 students |
| Not Ready | 1 student |

## RENAISSANCE TESTING

## STAR Early Literacy Assessment

This assessment measures our Kindergarten and Grade 1 students' early literacy and numeracy skills.

Students transition to the STAR Reading assessment when their Early Literacy scaled score is 852 or above. This indicates that student was able to read at least $80 \%$ of the items on the Early Literacy assessment, with a mastery of $70 \%$ or better in all ten literacy sub- domains. Students are classified as "Probable Readers."

## STAR Reading \& Math Assessments

These assessments are used in Grades
1-6. Students are tested several times throughout the school year to monitor progress.

Teachers can screen students, monitor growth, and set goals for their students based on testing results. This data guides programs within our district and instruction within the classroom.

## RENAISSANCE TESTING

When analyzing STAR testing data, we record the students' percentile rank. Scores are divided into four categories.

| At/ Above 40 PR | At/ Above Benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level |  |$|$| On Watch |
| :---: |
| $25-39$ PR |
| $10-24$ PR |
| Below 10 PR |

These scores are part of the data used to provide students with additional services, as needed, such as Basic Skills instruction, special education services, and Gifted and Talented program.

## RENAISSANCE LEARNING ASSESSMENT RESULTS - EARLY LITERACY

Kindergarten Early Literacy


| Number of Students: 60 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| At/Above <br> Benchmark | 45 |
| On Watch | 8 |
| Intervention | 7 |

## Kindergarten

RENAISSANCE LEARNING ASSESSMENT RESULTS READING AND MATH


Grade 1 STAR Math


| Number of Students: 32 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| At/Above Benchmark | 20 |
| On Watch | 3 |
| Intervention | 1 |
| Urgent Intervention | 8 |


| Number of Students : 48 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| At/Above Benchmark | 29 |
| On Watch | 9 |
| Intervention | 6 |
| Urgent Intervention | 4 |

RENAISSANCE LEARNING ASSESSMENT RESULTS READING AND MATHI

Grade 2 STAR Reading


| Number of Students: 55 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| At/Above Benchmark | 39 |
| On Watch | 9 |
| Intervention | 4 |
| Urgent Intervention | 3 |

## Grade 2 STAR Math



| Number of Students : 55 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| At/Above Benchmark | 46 |
| On Watch | 2 |
| Intervention | 6 |
| Urgent Intervention | 1 |

RENAISSANCE LEARNING ASSESSMENT RESULTS READING AND MATHI

Grade 3 STAR Reading


Grade 3 STAR Math


| Number of Students : 56 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| At/Above Benchmark | 42 |
| On Watch | 1 |
| Intervention | 8 |
| Urgent Intervention | 5 |

RENAISSANCE LEARNING ASSESSMENT RESULTS READING AND MATH


# RENAISSANCE LEARNING ASSESSMENT RESULTS READING AND MATR 

Grade 5 STAR Reading


| Number of Students: 77 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| At/Above Benchmark | 42 |
| On Watch | 13 |
| Intervention | 13 |
| Urgent Intervention | 9 |

Grade 5 STAR Math


| Number of Students: 77 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| At/Above Benchmark | 47 |
| On Watch | 15 |
| Intervention | 10 |
| Urgent Intervention | 5 |

# RENAISSANCE LEARNING ASSESSMENT RESULTS READING AND MATR 



Grade 6 STAR Math


| Number of Students: 54 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| At/Above Benchmark | 36 |
| On Watch | 7 |
| Intervention | 10 |
| Urgent Intervention | 1 |


| Number of Students : 54 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| At/Above Benchmark | 40 |
| On Watch | 7 |
| Intervention | 5 |
| Urgent Intervention | 2 |

## INDEPENDENT READING (ACCELERATED READER)

Frederic A. Priff

| Total Number of Students | 261 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Word Count | $75,229,239$ words |
| Total Books Read/Tests Taken | 6,721 |
| Total Tests Passed | $5,642(84 \%)$ |
| Students who read over 1 Million <br> Words | $16(6 \%)$ |

## TNDEPENDENT READING (ACCELERATED READER)

Frederic A. Priff

| Word Count Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Words | \# of Students | Percentage |
| $0-99,999$ | 96 | $36 \%$ |
| $100,000-399,999$ | 122 | $47 \%$ |
| $400,000-699,999$ | 23 | $9 \%$ |
| $700,000-999,999$ | 4 | $2 \%$ |
| $1,000,000$ | 13 | $5 \%$ |
| $2,000,000$ | 1 | $.4 \%$ |
| $3,000,000$ | 1 | $.4 \%$ |
| $6,000,000$ | 1 | $.4 \%$ |

## TNDEPENDENT READING (ACCELERATED READER)

Warełown Elementary

| Total Number of Students | 183 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Tests Taken | 2,640 |
| Total Tests Passed | 2,281 (86\%) |

$99.8 \%$ of tests taken were from Second Grade

## MATPI MANIA

Math Mania is a method of assessing students' math fluency in multiplication and division for grades 3-6. On average, $63 \%$ of students performed $80 \%$ or above in Math Mania, with the highest being $88 \%$ in September and the lowest being $51 \%$ in May.

| Percentage of Students in Grades 3-6 Who Scored 80\% or Above |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| September | $88 \%$ |
| October | $78 \%$ |
| November | $63 \%$ |
| December | $55 \%$ |
| January | $60 \%$ |
| February | $54 \%$ |
| March | $62 \%$ |
| April | $60 \%$ |
| May | $51 \%$ |

## FREDERIC A. PRIFF HONOR ROLI

Percentage of students who received honor roll or high honor during trimesters 1, 2, and 3.

| FREDERIC A. PRIFF STUDENTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trimester 1 | Trimester 2 | Trimester 3 |
| Grade 4 | $50.70 \%$ | $60.56 \%$ | $61.97 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | $47.56 \%$ | $48.78 \%$ | $51.22 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | $67.24 \%$ | $74.14 \%$ | $74.14 \%$ |

## SOUTHERN REGIONAL MIDDLE SCHOOL HONOR ROLI

Percentage of Waretown students currently at Southern Regional Middle School who received honor roll or high honor during quarters 1, 2, 3 and 4.

| WARETOWN MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENTS <br> (at Southern Regional) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| $30.59 \%$ | $31.34 \%$ | $30.59 \%$ | $27.61 \%$ |

## SOUTHERN REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL HONOR ROLI

Percentage of Waretown students currently at Southern Regional High School who received honor roll or high honor during quarters 1, 2, 3 and 4.

| WARETOWN HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS <br> (at Southern Regional) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| $46.28 \%$ | $35.12 \%$ | $37.19 \%$ | $40.08 \%$ |

## NEW JERSEY'S STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

- NJSLA Language Arts and Mathematics Assessment was administered to grades 3 through 6.
- NJSLA Science Assessment was administered to grade 5.
- Grade 3: 3 Mathematics units and 2 ELA units
- Grade 4: 3 Mathematics units and 2 ELA units
- Grade 5: 3 Mathematics units, 2 ELA units, and 4 units of science
- Grade 6: 3 Mathematics units and 2 ELA units


## PERFORMANCE LEVELS

NJSLA Language Arts and Mathematics

- Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- Level 2: Partially met expectations
- Level 3: Approaching expectations
- Level 4: Met expectations
- Level 5: Exceeded expectations

NJSLA Science

- Level 1: Below proficient
- Level 2: Near proficient
- Level 3: Proficient
- Level 4: Advanced Proficient


## COMPARISON OF DISTRICT AND STATE WUIDE PERCENTAGES

When reviewing these comparisons, it is important to proceed with caution, as our population is not an adequate sample size in comparison to the state's population. On the next two slides you will notice the number of students who were assessed in our district by grade level vs. the number of students who were assessed across the state by grade level. You will see our population makes up less than $1 \%$ of the students tested in the state of New Jersey.

## COMPARISON OF DISTRICT AND STATE WUIDE

## PERCENTAGES

## Language Arts

|  | Students Tested |  | Average Scaled Score |  | Percent of Students Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Percentage of Students Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Percent of Students Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Percent of Students Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Percent of Students Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | \% of Students Receiving a 4 or 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. |
| Grade 3 | 92,302 | 62 | 739 | 734 | 20.7\% | 14.5\% | 14.9\% | 19.4\% | 22.5\% | 30.6\% | 36.7\% | 33.9\% | 5.3\% | 1.6\% | 41.9\% | 35.5\% |
| Grade 4 | 93,504 | 64 | 748 | 749 | 12.8\% | 9.4\% | 14.6\% | 15.6\% | 21.3\% | 21.9\% | 36.5\% | 37.5\% | 14.8\% | 15.6\% | 51.3\% | 53.1\% |
| Grade 5 | 94,934 | 75 | 750 | 736 | 12.3\% | 17.3\% | 14.1\% | 17.3\% | 20.3\% | 29.3\% | 43.3\% | 34.7\% | 9.9\% | 1.3\% | 53.3\% | 36.0\% |
| Grade 6 | 96,337 | 53 | 747 | 742 | 12.0\% | 5.7\% | 14.4\% | 20.8\% | 24.6\% | 39.6\% | 37.6\% | 28.3\% | 11.4\% | 5.7\% | 49.0\% | 34.0\% |

## COMPARISON OF DISTRICT AND STATE WULDE PERCENTAGES

## Mathematics

|  | Students Tested |  | Average Scaled Score |  | Percent of Students Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Percentage of Students Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Percent of Students Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Percent of Students Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Percent of Students Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | \% of Students Receiving a 4 or 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. |
| Grade 3 | 94,111 | 62 | 745 | 736 | 12.5\% | 8.1\% | 16.7\% | 27.4\% | 24.8\% | 29.0\% | 34.0\% | 35.5\% | 11.9\% | 0\% | 45.9\% | 35.5\% |
| Grade 4 | 95,226 | 65 | 743 | 740 | 13.1\% | 15.5\% | 17.8\% | 10.8\% | 24.7\% | 32.3\% | $37.2 \%$ | 38.5\% | 7.1\% | 3.1\% | 44.4\% | 41.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 96,582 | 78 | 740 | 729 | 13.1\% | 12.8\% | 21.4\% | 28.2\% | 25.5\% | 46.2\% | $31.4 \%$ | 12.8\% | 8.7\% | 0\% | 40.0\% | 12.8\% |
| Grade 6 | 97,971 | 53 | 735 | 739 | 14.2\% | 5.7\% | 23.2\% | 24.5\% | 28.3\% | 41.5\% | 27.7\% | 24.5\% | 6.6\% | 3.8\% | 34.3\% | 28.3\% |

## COMPARISON OF DISTRICT AND STATE WULDE

## PERCENTAGES

## Science

|  | Students Tested |  | Average Scaled Score |  | Percent of Students Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Percentage of Students Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Percent of Students Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Percent of Students Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | \% of Students Receiving a 3 or 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. | State | Dis. |
| Grade 5 | 96,392 | 77 | 166 | 160 | 38.4\% | 39.0\% | 34.8\% | 45.5\% | 21.1\% | 11.7\% | 5.7\% | 3.9\% | 26.8\% | 15.6\% |




## PROFICIENCY BY PROGRAM

## Language Arts

## English Language Arts




## SPECIALTY TESTS

## MULTILINGUAL LEARNERS AND DLM

## Fall 2022

- Select students were tested with the WIDA MODEL and WIDA SCREENER to determine ML services needed


## Spring 2023

- All ML students were tested with the WIDA ACCESS

ML students are provided services via our BSI program 3 days a week.

## DLM (Dynamic Learning Maps)

- Evaluation for qualifying special needs students
- Scores not reported due to the small population to protect confidentiality


# DISTRICT STRENGTHS AND AREAS OF TMPROVEMENT 

## Mathematics

## STRENGTH

$>$ Grades 3-5 Domain Operations \& Algebraic Thinking were higher than the state average. This Domain lays the foundation for the Domain in 6th grade.
$>$ Grade 6 Domain Expressions \& Equations was higher than the state average.

## AREA OF IMPROVEMENT

> Grades 3-5 Domain Number \& Operations-Fractions were lower than the state average. This Domain lays the foundation for the Domain in 6th grade.
> Grade 6 Domain Ratios \& Proportional Relationships was lower than the state average.

# DISTRICT STRENGTHE AND AREAS OF TMPROVEMENT 

## Language Arts

## STRENGTH

$>$ Grades 3-6 Domain Reading: Literature was above the state average.
$>$ Grade 4 the students tested at or above the state average on all tested Domains!

## AREA OF IMPROVEMENT

$>$ Grades 3 \& 5 Domain Reading: Informational Text was below the state average
> Grade 6 Domain Reading: History/Social Studies was below the state average

## LOOKING AREAD

- ARP Challenges will continue at WES
- Million Word Challenge will continue at Priff
- Million Math Challenge at Priff
- Math Mania will continue at Priff
- Math Jeopardy in 6th grade
- Continue use of Accelerated Reading
- Basic Skills after school clubs
- ESEA Family Academic Nights- Reading, Wellness, and STEAM
- Grade Level NJSLA analysis and goal development during PLCs
- Sonday Reading System for at risk and special education students
- Sonday Whole Group Reading K-3
- Chronic Absenteeism Reduction Incentives
- Full Day PreK
- Achievement Incentives
- Academic Pep Rally
- Responsive Classroom Implementation
- ILT Goal Development using grade level data

